

Stabilization of viscoelastic wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions

Akram Ben Aissa
Higher Institute of Transport and Logistics
University of Sousse



October 30, 2019

Stabilization of
viscoelastic wave
equation with
dynamic boundary
conditions

Akram Ben Aissa
Higher Institute of
Transport and
Logistics
University of
Sousse



Introduction

Well-posedness of
the problem

Stability result

Perspectives

Outline

Introduction

Well-posedness of the problem

Stability result

Perspectives

Stabilization of
viscoelastic wave
equation with
dynamic boundary
conditions

Akram Ben Aissa
Higher Institute of
Transport and
Logistics
University of
Sousse



Introduction

Well-posedness of
the problem

Stability result

Perspectives

Our main interest lies in the following system of viscoelastic equation :

$$(1) \begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^\infty g(s) \Delta u(x, t-s) ds = 0, x \in \Omega, t > 0 \\ u_{tt} = - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) - \int_0^\infty g(s) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t-s) ds \right), x \in \Gamma_1, t > 0 \\ u(x, t) = 0, x \in \Gamma_0, t > 0 \\ u(x, -t) = u^0(x, t), x \in \Omega, t > 0 \\ u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), x \in \Omega \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

Introduction

Well-posedness of
the problem

Stability result

Perspectives

- ▶ x is the space variable along the beam in the bounded domain.
- ▶ t denotes the time variable.
- ▶ u denote the transverse displacements of waves.
- ▶ u_t represent the damping terms.
- ▶ $g(\cdot)$ represent the viscoelastic materials which is a kind of material that has the properties of keeping past information (memories) and which are able to be used in the future.
- ▶ $u^0 : \Omega \times (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the prescribed past history of u .

The relaxation function g is differentiable function such that, for $s \geq 0$



$$(2) \quad g(s) \geq 0, \quad 1 - \int_0^{\infty} g(s) ds = \ell > 0,$$



$$(3) \quad \exists \zeta_0, \zeta_1 > 0 : \quad -\zeta_1 g(t) \leq g'(t) \leq -\zeta_0 g(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

A natural question arises in the context of the viscoelastic Wave problem with dynamic boundary conditions: Is it possible to **stabilize** the system by considering some **memory damping** acting in the first and second equation? The main goal of this talk is exactly to give an answer to this question, namely: to prove that a memory damping is strong enough, via transmission process ($u|_{\Gamma} = \eta$), to assure the asymptotic stability of the whole system. This is a hard problem since we have dynamic boundary conditions, instead of static one. In other words: we have **additional terms in the energy which come from a dynamic boundary** so that we have to deal with these terms when we estimate the integral inequalities of energy.

In order to prove the existence of solutions of problem (1), we follow the approach of Dafermos [1], by considering a new auxiliary variable as **the relative displacement history** of u as follows:

$$\eta := \eta^t(x, s) = u(x, t) - u(x, t-s) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty).$$

and the weighted L^2 - spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= L^2_g(\mathbb{R}_+; H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)) \\ &= \left\{ \xi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) : \int_0^\infty g(s) \|\nabla \xi(s)\|_2^2 ds < \infty \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a Hilbert space endowed with inner product and norm consecutively

$$\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} = \int_0^{\infty} g(s) \left(\int_{\Omega} \nabla \xi(s) \nabla \zeta(s) dx \right) ds,$$

and

$$\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \int_0^{\infty} g(s) \|\nabla \xi(s)\|_2^2 ds.$$

Our analysis is given on the phase space

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{H} = H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Gamma_1) \times \mathcal{M}.$$

If we denote $V := (u, u_t, \gamma_1(u_t), \eta)$, clearly, \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product

Introduction

Well-posedness of
the problem

Stability result

Perspectives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V_1, V_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= (1 - g_0) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_1 \cdot \nabla u_2 dx + \int_{\Omega} v_1 \cdot v_2 dx \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_1} w_1 \cdot w_2 d\sigma \\ (5) \quad &+ \int_0^{\infty} g(s) \left(\int_{\Omega} \nabla \eta_1(s) \cdot \nabla \eta_2(s) dx \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

with $V_1 = (u_1, v_1, w_1, \eta_1)^T$ and $V_2 = (u_2, v_2, w_2, \eta_2)^T$.

Therefore, problem (1) is equivalent to

(6)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{tt} - \ell \Delta u - \int_0^\infty g(s) \Delta \eta^t(x, s) ds = 0, x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ u_{tt} = - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) + \int_0^\infty g(s) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t-s) ds \right), x \in \Gamma_1, t > 0, \\ \eta_t^t(x, t) + \eta_s^t(x, s) = u_t(x, t), x \in \Omega, t > 0, s > 0, \\ u(x, t) = \eta^t(x, 0) = 0, x \in \Gamma_0, t > 0, \\ u(x, -t) = u^0(x, t), x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), x \in \Omega, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), x \in \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

the problem (6) is formally equivalent to the following abstract evolution equation in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} V'(t) = \mathcal{A}V(t), & t > 0 \\ V(0) = V_0, . \end{cases}$$

such that $V_0 = (u_0, u_1, \gamma_1(u_1), \eta^0)^T$ and the operator \mathcal{A} is defined by

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{A} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ \omega \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ (1 - g_0)\Delta u + \int_0^\infty g(s)\Delta\eta(s)ds \\ -\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \int_0^\infty g(s)\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \nu}(x, t - s)ds \\ -\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial s} + v \end{pmatrix}$$

with domain

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (u, v, \omega, \eta) \in (H^2(\Omega) \cap H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)) \times H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \times \\ L^2(\Gamma_1) \times \mathcal{M}, \text{ s.t} \\ (1 - g_0)u + \int_0^\infty g(s)\eta(s)ds \in L^2(\Omega), \\ \omega = \gamma_1(u) = u_0(\cdot, 0), \eta(0) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \end{array} \right.$$

Introduction

Well-posedness of
the problem

Stability result

Perspectives

Theorem

Let $V_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. Then, system (6) has a unique weak solution

$$V \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{H})$$

Moreover, if $V_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$, then the solution of (7) satisfies

$$V \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+; \mathcal{H}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+; D(\mathcal{A}))$$

Proof:

For $V = (u, v, \omega, \eta)^T \in D(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{A}V, V \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} v \\ (1 - g_0)\Delta u + \int_0^\infty g(s)\Delta\eta(s)ds \\ -\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} - \int_0^\infty g(s)\frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu}(x, t - s)ds \\ -\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial s} + v \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ \omega \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad \langle \mathcal{A}V, V \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} &= (1 - g_0) \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla u \, dx + (1 - g_0) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \cdot v \, dx \\
 &+ (1 - g_0) \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} g(s) \Delta \eta(s) v(s) \, ds \\
 &+ \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{-\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \int_0^{\infty} g(s) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t - s) \, ds \right) \omega \, d\sigma \\
 &+ \left\langle \frac{-\partial \eta}{\partial s} + v, \eta \right\rangle_{L_g^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$(10) \quad \int_{\Gamma_1} \left(\frac{-\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \int_0^\infty g(s) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t-s) ds \right) \omega d\sigma = 0$$

By exploiting Green's formula, integrating by parts and using the fact that $\eta(0) = 0$ (from the definition of $D(\mathcal{A})$), we obtain

$$\left\langle \frac{-\partial \eta}{\partial s}, \eta \right\rangle_{L^2_g} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty g'(s) \|\nabla \eta(s)\|^2 ds.$$

Inserting the previous identity into (9), we get

$$\langle \mathcal{A}V, V \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty g'(s) \|\nabla \eta(s)\|^2 ds$$

which implies that

$$\langle \mathcal{A}V, V \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 0.$$

Next, we shall prove that $\lambda I - \mathcal{A}$ is surjective for $\lambda > 0$.
Indeed, let $F = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)^\top \in \mathcal{H}$, and we look for
 $W = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4)^\top \in D(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying

$$(11) \quad (\lambda I - \mathcal{A})W = F$$

which is equivalent to

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda\omega_1 - \omega_2 = f_1 \\ -(1 - g_0)\Delta\omega_1 + \lambda\omega_2 - \int_0^\infty g(s)\Delta\omega_4(s)ds = f_2 \\ \lambda\omega_3 + \frac{\partial\omega_1}{\partial\nu} + \int_0^\infty g(s)\frac{\partial\omega_3(s)}{\partial\nu}ds = f_3 \\ -\omega_2 + \lambda\omega_4 + \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\omega_4 = f_4. \end{cases}$$

the first equation in (12) gives

$$\omega_2 = \lambda\omega_1 - f_1$$

and the last equation in (12) with $\eta(0) = 0$ has unique solution

$$\omega_4(s) = \left(\int_0^s e^y (f_4(y) + \omega_2(y)) dy \right) e^{-s}.$$

From the first and the second equation in (12) we can deduce the following

$$(13) \quad \lambda^2\omega_1 - (1-g_0)\Delta\omega_1 = (f_2 + \lambda f_1) + \int_0^\infty g(s)\omega_4(s)ds.$$

Putting $\bar{u} = \omega_1 + \int_0^\infty g(s)\omega_3(s)ds$. Then from equation (13), \bar{u} must satisfy

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda^2 \bar{u} - (1 - g_0)\Delta \bar{u} &= \lambda^2 \int_0^\infty g(s)\omega_3(s)ds + (f_2 + \lambda f_1) \\ &\quad - (1 - g_0) \int_0^\infty g(s)\Delta \omega_3(s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty g(s)\omega_4(s)ds \end{aligned}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$(15) \quad \bar{u} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0$$

$$(16) \quad \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \nu} = f_3 - \lambda \bar{u} + \lambda(1 - \ell)u_0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_1.$$

The variational formulation of (14) is given by:

$$(17) \quad a(\bar{u}, \varphi) = l(\varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$$

where

$$a(\bar{u}, \varphi) = \int_{\Omega} [\lambda^2 \bar{u} \cdot \varphi + (1 - g_0) \nabla \bar{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi] dx \\ + \lambda \int_{\Gamma_1} \bar{u}(\sigma) \varphi(\sigma) d\sigma$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I(\varphi) &= \lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} g(s) \omega_3(s) ds \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} (f_2 + \lambda f_1) \varphi dx \\ &+ (1 - g_0) \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} g(s) \nabla \omega_3(s) ds \nabla \varphi dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} g(s) \omega_4(s) ds \varphi dx + \lambda \int_{\Gamma_1} u_0(\sigma) \varphi(\sigma) d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Introduction

Well-posedness of
the problem

Stability result

Perspectives

using the Lax-Milgram Theorem, we conclude that (14) has a unique solution \bar{u} in $H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$. By classical regularity arguments, we conclude that the solution \bar{u} of (14) belongs into $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$ and satisfies (14). Consequently, using (18) and (18), we deduce that (6) has a unique solution $V \in D(\mathcal{A})$. This proves that $(\lambda I - \mathcal{A})$ is surjective and hence \mathcal{A} is an infinitesimal generator of a linear \mathcal{C}_0 semigroup of contractions on \mathcal{H} .

Introduction

Well-posedness of
the problem

Stability result

Perspectives

Decreasing Energy: $E(t) \searrow$

The energy associated with (6) is defined by

$$(18) \quad E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|u_t(t)\|_{\Gamma_1}^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}} \right\},$$

Lemma

The functional defined in (18) satisfies the following inequality

$$(19) \quad E'(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty g'(s) \|\nabla \eta(s)\|_2^2 ds, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

Proof:

By multiplying the first equation in (6) by $u_t(t)$, and integrating over Ω we get

$$(20) \quad 0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \{ \|u_t(t)\|_{\Gamma_1}^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 \} + \int_0^\infty g(s) \int_\Omega \nabla \eta(s) \nabla u_t(t) ds dx.$$

Since

$$u_t(x, t) = \eta_t(x, s) + \eta_s(x, s), \quad (x, s) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad t \geq 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty g(s) \int_\Omega \nabla \eta(s) \nabla u_t(t) dx ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty g(s) \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \eta(s)\|_2^2 ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty g'(s) \|\nabla \eta(s)\|_2^2 ds \\ &+ \int_0^\infty g(s) \int_\Omega \nabla \eta(s) \nabla \eta_t(t) dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

Due to Young's inequality, we have for any $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \quad & \int_0^\infty g(s) \int_\Omega \nabla \eta(s) \nabla \eta_t(t) dx ds \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty g(s) \left(\frac{1}{4\delta} \|\nabla \eta(s)\|_2^2 + \delta \|\nabla \eta_t\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq \delta \left(\int_0^\infty g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla \eta_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta} \int_0^\infty g(s) \|\nabla \eta(s)\|_2^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

Exponential Stability Conditions

The necessary and sufficient conditions for the **exponential stability** of the C_0 -semigroup of contractions on a Hilbert space were obtained by Gearhart [2] and Huang [3] independently, see also Prüss [4]. We will use the following result due to Gearhart.

Lemma

A Semigroup $\{e^{t\mathcal{A}}\}_{t \geq 0}$ of **contractions** on a Hilbert space \mathcal{X} is exponentially stable if and only if

$$(22) \quad i\mathbb{R} \equiv \{i\beta; \beta \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \rho(\mathcal{A})$$

and

$$(23) \quad \limsup_{|\beta| \rightarrow \infty} \|(i\beta I - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{X}} < \infty$$

Main Result

Stabilization of
viscoelastic wave
equation with
dynamic boundary
conditions

Akram Ben Aissa
Higher Institute of
Transport and
Logistics
University of
Sousse

Analysis and Control
PDE

Theorem

The semigroup of system (6) decays *exponentially* as
(24)

$$\|e^{t\mathcal{A}}V_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq Ce^{-\gamma t}\|V_0\|_{D(\mathcal{A})}, \quad \forall V_0 \in D(\mathcal{A}), \quad t > 0.$$

Introduction

Well-posedness of
the problem

Stability result

Perspectives

Proof of Theorem

The proof is splinted into two parts: the first part consists to prove (22) which is equivalent to prove the following two assertions

1. If β is a real number, then $(i\beta I - \mathcal{A})$ is **injectif** and
2. If β is a real number, then $(i\beta I - \mathcal{A})$ is **surjectif**.

Lemma

If β is a real number, then $i\beta$ is not an eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} .

Proof of Lemma5

We will show that the equation

$$(25) \quad \mathcal{A}Z = i\beta Z$$

with $Z = (u, v, \omega, \eta)^T \in D(\mathcal{A})$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ has only the trivial solution. Equation (25) can be written as

$$(26) \quad i\beta u - v = 0$$

$$(27) \quad i\beta v - (1 - g_0)\Delta u - \int_0^\infty g(s)\Delta\eta(s)ds = 0$$

$$(28) \quad i\beta\omega + \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} + \int_0^\infty g(s)\frac{\partial\omega(s)}{\partial\nu}ds = 0$$

$$(29) \quad i\beta\eta + \frac{\partial\eta}{\partial s} - v = 0$$

By taking the inner product of (25) with $Z \in D(\mathcal{A})$ and using (19), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(\langle \mathcal{A}Z, Z \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}) &\leq \int_0^\infty g'(s) \|\nabla \eta(s)\|^2 ds \\ (30) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq - \int_0^\infty g(s) \|\nabla \eta(s)\|^2 ds \\ &= -\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain that $\eta = 0$, moreover, as η satisfies (29), by integration we obtain

$$\eta(s) = \left(\int_0^s e^{i\beta y} v(y) dy \right) e^{-i\beta s}.$$

Since $\eta = 0$ we deduce that $v = 0$ and from (26) we have $u = 0$. Moreover, as $\omega = \gamma_1(u) = u_0(\cdot, 0)$, we obtain also $\omega = 0$. Thus the only solution of (25) is the trivial one. Hence the proof is completed.

► Next, we show that $i\beta I - \mathcal{A}$ is **surjective**.

In fact, for $F = (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4)^T \in \mathcal{H}$, let $V = (u, v, \omega, \eta)^T \in D(\mathcal{A})$ solution of

$$(31) \quad (i\beta I - \mathcal{A})V = F$$

which is

$$(32) \quad \begin{cases} i\beta u - v = f_1 \\ -(1 - g_0)\Delta u + i\beta v - \int_0^\infty g(s)\Delta\eta(s)ds = f_2 \\ i\beta\omega + \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} + \int_0^\infty g(s)\frac{\partial\omega(s)}{\partial\nu}ds = f_3 \\ -v + i\beta\eta + \frac{\partial\eta}{\partial s} = f_4. \end{cases}$$

The first equation in (32) gives

$$(33) \quad v = i\beta\omega_1 - f_1.$$

The last equation in (32) with $\eta(0) = 0$ has unique solution

$$(34) \quad \omega_4(s) = \left(\int_0^s e^{i\beta y} (f_4(y) + \omega_2(y)) dy \right) e^{-i\beta s}$$

Another time, from the first and the second equation in (32) we can deduce the following

$$(35) \quad (i\beta)^2 \omega_1 - (1 - g_0) \Delta \omega_1 = (f_2 + i\beta f_1) + \int_0^\infty g(s) \omega_4(s) ds$$

Setting $\bar{u} = \omega_1 + \int_0^\infty g(s) \omega_3(s) ds$. Then \bar{u} verify

$$(36) \quad (i\beta)^2 \bar{u} - (1 - g_0) \Delta \bar{u} = (i\beta)^2 \int_0^\infty g(s) \omega_3(s) ds + (f_2 + i\beta f_1) \\ - (1 - g_0) \int_0^\infty g(s) \Delta \omega_3(s) ds + \int_0^\infty g(s) \omega_4(s) ds$$

with the boundary conditions

$$(37) \quad \bar{u} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_0$$

$$(38) \quad \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \nu} = f_3 - i\beta \bar{u} + i\beta u_0(x)(1 - \ell) \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_1.$$

The variational formulation of (36) is:

$$(39) \quad b(\bar{u}, \varphi) = l(\varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$$

where

$$b(\bar{u}, \varphi) = \int_{\Omega} [(i\beta)^2 \bar{u} \cdot \varphi + (1 - g_0) \nabla \bar{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi] dx \\ + i\beta \int_{\Gamma_1} \bar{u}(\sigma) \varphi(\sigma) d\sigma$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I(\varphi) &= (i\beta)^2 \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} g(s) \omega_3(s) ds \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} (f_2 + i\beta f_1) \varphi dx \\ &+ (1 - g_0) \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} g(s) \nabla \omega_3(s) ds \nabla \varphi dx \\ &+ i\beta \int_{\Gamma_1} u_0(\sigma) \varphi(\sigma) d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Introduction

Well-posedness of
the problem

Stability result

Perspectives

Lemma

The resolvent operator of \mathcal{A} satisfies (23), that's

$$\limsup_{|\beta| \rightarrow \infty} \|(i\beta I - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\|_X < \infty.$$

Introduction

Well-posedness of
the problem

Stability result

Perspectives

Proof of (23)

Suppose that condition (23) is false. By Banach-steinhaus Theorem, there exists a sequence of real numbers $\beta_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and a sequence of vectors

$$(40) \quad Z_n = (u_n, v_n, \omega_n, \eta_n)^T \in D(\mathcal{A}) \quad \text{with} \quad \|Z_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1$$

such that

$$(41) \quad \|(i\beta_n I - \mathcal{A})Z_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

That's

$$(i\beta_n u_n - v_n) \equiv f_n \rightarrow 0, \text{ in } H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$$

$$\left(i\beta_n v_n - (1 - g_0)\Delta u_n - \int_0^\infty g(s)\Delta \eta_n(s) ds \right) \equiv g_n \rightarrow 0, \text{ in } L^2(\Omega)$$

$$\left(i\beta_n \omega_n + \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial \nu} + \int_0^\infty g(s) \frac{\partial \omega_n(s)}{\partial \nu} ds \right) \equiv h_n \rightarrow 0, \text{ in } L^2(\Gamma_1)$$

$$\left(i\beta_n \eta_n + \frac{\partial \eta_n}{\partial s} - v_n \right) \equiv k_n \rightarrow 0, \text{ in } \mathcal{M}.$$

$$(42) \quad |\Re \langle (i\beta_n I - \mathcal{A})Z_n, Z_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \leq \|(i\beta_n I - \mathcal{A})Z_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Using the hypothesis on g , we find that

$$(43) \quad \eta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2_g(\mathbb{R}_+; H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega))$$

and

$$(44) \quad \eta_n(s) = \left(\int_0^s e^{i\beta y} k_n(y) \right) e^{-i\beta s} + \left(\int_0^s e^{i\beta y} v_n(y) dy \right) e^{-i\beta s}.$$

By exploiting the convergence (43) and (44), we can deduce from (42) that

$$(45) \quad v_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega) \text{ and } u_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Now, multiplying the equation (42) by v_n and (42) by u_n , adding them and taking the real parts, we obtain

(46)

$$\|v_n\|_2^2 + (1-g_0)\|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + \int_0^\infty g(s)\nabla\eta_n(s)\nabla u_n(t)ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega).$$

According to Young's inequality, we have for any $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty g(s) \int_\Omega \nabla\eta_n(s)\nabla u_n(t)dxds \leq \\ & \int_0^\infty g(s) \left(\frac{1}{4\delta}\|\nabla\eta_n(s)\|_2^2 + \delta\|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 \right) ds \\ & \leq \delta \left(\int_0^\infty g(s)ds \right) \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta} \int_0^\infty g(s)\|\nabla\eta_n(s)\|_2^2 ds \\ & = \delta g_0 \|\nabla u_n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4\delta} \|\eta\|_{L_g^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing the last inequality in (46), for δ sufficiently small we get

$$(47) \quad \nabla u_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Consequently we have

$$(48) \quad u_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega).$$

By using (42) and trace theorem we get

$$(49) \quad \omega_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2(\Gamma_1)$$

which contradicts (40) . Thus (23) is proved.

Open Problem

- ▶ The associated Controllability (Exact or approximate) problem. That's

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^\infty g(s) \Delta u(x, t - s) ds = f.$$

- ▶ Stability issue for different powers of $-\Delta$ in the damping term:

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^\infty g(s) \Delta^\theta u(x, t - s) ds = 0, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$



C. M. Dafermos, Asymptotic stability in viscoelasticity. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **37** (1970), 297-308.



M.M.Cavalcanti, V.N.Domingos Cavalcanti, M.A.Jorge Silva and A.Y.de Souza Franco, Exponential stability for the wave model with localized memory in a past history framework, *J.Diff.Eq*, (2018), 1-50.

Thank
you



Stabilization of
viscoelastic wave
equation with
dynamic boundary
conditions

Akram Ben Aissa
Higher Institute of
Transport and
Logistics
University of
Sousse



Introduction

Well-posedness of
the problem

Stability result

Perspectives